Chapter 1

Exploring the Role of AI in Redefining Leadership in Modern Organizations

Dr. Amarjeet Kaur,

Dyal Singh College,

University Of Delhi

amarjeetkaur.commerce@dsc.du.ac.in

Abstract

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) technology has shifted leadership perspectives towards data-driven, strategic, and inclusive leadership styles. This research chapter examines AI's impact on leadership including its key concepts, the acquisition of new competencies, and the way it is practiced. AI technologies assist with communication, make predictions, and speed up various operations, thus enabling leaders so that they can focus more on creative solutions and people-centered strategies. However, such advancements are accompanied by the necessity to reorient long-held traditional views about leadership traits, particularly emotional intelligence, flexibility, and ethical principles. Interestingly, while AI claims to enhance the performance, efficiency, and inclusiveness of organizations, it also presents problems such as ethical issues, prejudice embedded in programming codes, and the issue of incorporation of employees. In this chapter, the imperative need for a balanced and human-centred approach to AI leadership is presented to help leaders anticipate and address the challenges of the digitised world.

Keywords

AI in Leadership, Ethical Leadership, Transformational Leadership, AI-Driven Decisions, Digital Leadership Skills, Human-AI Collaboration.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has also brought a fundamental change in the corporate operations by employing Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Analytics and improving decision making skills. The formation of AI into organizational processes has yielded efficiency and opportunities that previously could not have been imagined, from optimizing supply chains to optimizing customer experiences (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). As AI-driven systems become more prevalent in firms, the role of leadership has shifted dramatically, and conventional leadership styles must be replaced with more flexible and dynamic strategies.

The centrality of AI to the leadership function, which in turn must demand proficiency, implies vision, moral mastery, and the capacity to leverage AI for a competitive edge while minimizing its risks (Heifetz, 1994; Northouse, 2021). Transformational leadership theories associated with change, innovation, and flexibility explain how leaders use AI to inspire employees and generate changes in the organization (Bass, 1985). Yet there is another issue around implementing AI within human AI engagement, ranging the example, in accountability, explainability, justice and considering ethical matters (Floridi et al., 2018) and inducing possible hazards (Heifetz 1994; Northouse 2021). Having a foundation in transformational leadership theories, (Bass, 1985) in which creativity and adaptation are prioritized, helps understand how leaders can use AI to motivate teams and how to progress the organization. Notably,

however, integrating AI carries its own special difficulties to deal with — for example, to handle human-AI cooperation, to ensure responsibility, fairness and to address ethical issues (Floridi et al., 2018).risks (Heifetz, 1994; Northouse, 2021).Transformational leadership theories include change, innovation, flexibility, and how leaders use AI to incite change and motivation within organizations (Bass, 1985). However, it is difficult to integrate AI with HAI, including responsibility, explainability, justice, and dealing with ethical problems (Floridi et al. 2018). Potential risks (Heifetz 1994; Northouse 2021). Transformational leadership theories that emphasize creativity and adaptation are a useful foundation for understanding how leaders can put AI into play to spark change and progress in the organization (Bass, 1985). However, the integration of AI has its own problems: how to deal with humans and capability, ensuring responsibility, fairness, and ethical disputes (Floridi et al., 2018).

This chapter focuses on AI and leadership to understand how leadership is reshaped by emerging AI ecosystems. It explores the literature on AI leadership, introduces new perspectives, and stresses the application of this approach to leaders in modern organizations. In this chapter, the author aims to provide a comprehensive review of the literature to explain and understand how AI influences leadership in today's business settings is influenced by AI while pointing out the gaps in the studies conducted in the field.

2. Literature View

Leadership theories have evolved significantly over the decades, transitioning from trait-based approaches to more dynamic and situational models. The early research by Stogdill (1974) when conducting a survey of leadership theories to suggest that the concepts moved away from focusing on leadership traits to person –situational factors. The concept of transformational leadership, first described by Bass (1985), calls for Intellectual Stimulation, motivation and Vision. Although these theories are basic, they do not capture the major impact of technology on leadership theories.

Recent research has examined the use of AI in leadership, with Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) exploring how it may improve workplace efficiency and decision-making. This viewpoint is supported by Heifetz's (1994) adaptive leadership theory, which emphasizes the necessity for leaders to handle complex, changing problems—a task that AI may assist with through predictive analytics. Kolbjørnsrud, Amico, and Thomas (2016) went on to say that supervisors can focus on strategic projects by using AI to automate monotonous work.

In conjunction with ethical and societal ramifications of artificial intelligence (AI) in leadership, considerable scrutiny has also been attracted. Floridi et al. (2018) articulated a framework centered on fairness, accountability (and) transparency; however, Crawford (2021) underscored environmental and political implications associated with AI systems. Although this presents a complex landscape, Raisch and Krakowski (2021) offered support for an automation-augmentation paradox, wherein achieving balance between contributions of AI and humans becomes particularly challenging. This complexity is further compounded by rapid evolution of technological capabilities, because dynamics of leadership must now adapt to these emerging realities.

However, there are gaps in the literature. For example, although the use of AI in supplementing leadership's advantages is evident, their real-world application results have not been well researched. Moreover, ethical issues are mostly discussed at a conceptual level, with a limited number of practical recommendations for managers who face these problems. Even the theoretical frames mentioned by Northouse (2021) and Davenport and Kirby (2016) note that the integration of AI into the leadership context must be balanced, and cannot disregard the leadership values inherent in human beings.

Table 1: Research Overview

Year	Author(s)	Focus/Findings	Research Gap
1974	Stogdill, R. M.	Surveyed leadership theories; emphasized traits and environmental factors.	Did not address technological impacts on leadership.

1985	Bass, B. M.	Developed transformational leadership theory focusing on vision and motivation.	Ignored the role of emerging technologies in leadership.
1994	Heifetz, R. A.	Proposed adaptive leadership for addressing complex challenges.	Limited to human-centric approaches without AI integration.
2014	Brynjolfsson & McAfee	Discussed AI's role in improving decision-making and operational efficiency.	Overlooked ethical and human-centric implications.
2016	Kolbjørnsrud, Amico, & Thomas	Highlighted AI's potential in automating tasks and enhancing strategy.	Limited empirical evidence of AI application in leadership.
2016	Davenport & Kirby	Explored human-AI collaboration in leadership contexts.	Did not address the long-term effects of AI-driven decision-making.
2017	Makridakis, S.	Examined AI's societal and organizational impact.	Lacked focus on leadership-specific implications.
2018	Floridi et al.	Proposed ethical frameworks for AI implementation.	No detailed strategies for leaders managing ethical dilemmas.
2018	Wilson & Daugherty	Explored collaborative intelligence for enhancing leadership.	Insufficient exploration of leadership traits required for AI collaboration.
2021	Northouse, G. P.	Updated transformational leadership theory for modern contexts.	Limited integration of AI-related dimensions.
2021	Crawford, K.	Critiqued AI's planetary costs and societal implications.	Overemphasized risks without providing practical solutions for leaders.
2021	Raisch & Krakowski	Discussed the automation-augmentation paradox in leadership.	Lack of strategies to balance AI and human contributions.

2022	Suryanarayana, A.	Explored leadership mandates in VUCA environments.	Did not incorporate AI's potential in managing VUCA challenges.
2023	Mukherjee, C.	Identified capabilities for organizational agility in the future of work.	Focused on agility without exploring AI's role comprehensively.
2024	Anwar & Saraih	Investigated digital leadership in education contexts.	Limited to the education sector; lacks cross-industry insights.

3. The Changing Landscape of Leadership

The introduction of AI has forced a new style of leadership, as most traditional leadership styles are unable to meet the complexities of present-day organizations. The hierarchical model of leadership is one of the conventional approaches and holds the perspective that power and decision making are vested at higher organizational tiers. However, according to Sułkowski et al. (2024), such models cannot be applied to dynamically changing environments, owing to technological changes and artificial intelligence.

Organizational structures have changed, leaders are required to traverse decentralized systems, support cross-functional teamwork, and incorporate AI into decision-making (Kollmann, Kollmann, & Kollmann, 2023). Furthermore, the rise in data-driven decision-making has transformed leadership practices. AI tools are increasingly relying to gain insights into market trends, employee performance, and operational efficiency. Such a shift enables more informed and timely decisions but is accompanied by the need for new competencies in leaders: data literacy and the ability to critically interpret the outputs of AI (Farayola et al., 2023; Shields, 2024).

With the development of AI-based settings, mission-oriented management has been applied in recent years because task-oriented management focuses mainly on achieving goals in terms of quantitative measures with effectiveness (Li et al. 2023). The disadvantages of integrating AI, while the upsides are still present, are changed conflicts, ethical issues, and learning how to implement the application of new technology while still adhering to human resources, core values, and integration of the institution and organization (Ejjami, 2024). Haleem et al. (2024) and Leadership 4.0 took the leeway and progressive attitude to help the leaders to attain the Fourth Industrial revolution.

The idea of emergence through AI is nearly fully developed and ready for implementation in leaders' lives, but leaders cannot forget the tension between disruption

and continuity as potential is actualized and AI becomes a tool that enhances human capabilities (Randriamiary, 2024). As a result, leaders need to move towards the AI age leadership from command to embrace a new form of power, organization and enablement of leadership.

4. AI as a Catalyst for Leadership Transformation

This chapter found that artificial intelligence (AI) is a powerful tool for improving leadership skills to enable leaders to make relevant decisions in the right manner. AI's first value management function focuses on the increased use of predictive analytics to analyze big data and identify opportunities and risks for organizational leaders (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Kolbjørnsrud, Amico, & Thomas, 2016). These capabilities enable leaders to use more precise and efficient decision-making mechanisms than usual guesswork ones, as discussed by Chui and Malhotra in their article (2018).

AI also helps enhance communication between workers and organizations' teams by using tools such as natural language processing and real-time translation (Wilson & Daugherty, 2018). These tools also help leaders improve their communication with stakeholders, and they can even communicate in different languages and from different places. In addition, AI relieves managers of routine work, which not only has low value but also consumes time to spend on core activities, including the creation of new business and organizational development

(Davenport & Kirby, 2016; Makridakis, 2017).

The diversity and elimination of biases are now highly valued components of AI's contribution to leadership change. AI, as long as it is implemented with adherence to ethical regulations, can reduce the influence of human biases in making decisions, including hiring, performance assessment, and resource distribution (Ojo & Decker, 2018; Binns, 2018). However, this potential is only as good as the algorithms and data that feed the machines, an aspect that underlines the need for ethical AI (Crawford, 2021).

Emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1996; Anwar & Saraih, 2014) is also an area in which we see the combination of AI and leadership by leveraging the use of efficient AI to support humans with different types of needs. Through integrating artificial intelligence-derived data with foundational leadership skills, the latter can address the difficulties of the digital age flexibly and stably (Haleem, Javaid, & Singh, 2024). Additionally, development in this regard disassociates AI from being something that could harm human leadership and puts it as an enabler of more powerful, efficient, and transformative leadership practices (Shields, 2024; Randriamiary, 2024).

5. Redefining Leadership Skills and Competencies

The concept of an AI-driven workplace requires a redefining of leadership skills and talents, both traditional and innovative, in order to handle evolving opportunities. This chapter focuses on how Emotional

Intelligence (EI) helps to enable leadership in the workplace. Due to rapidly evolving technology, a high EI is more successful when combined with AI intelligence for handling organizational interactions between people, preserving teamwork, and raising morale inside the company (Sharma & Tiwari, 2024; Shwetha et al., 2024). To improve overall leadership techniques that emphasize both people and technology-driven solutions, emotional intelligence is deeply integrated with AI (Vivek & Krupskyi, 2024).

The modern world is characterized by digital shifts. Thus, two defining features have become pivotal: techie and flexibility. AI has grown to become a complex tool, and managers need fundamental knowledge to harness its power to complement their workers (Paudel, 2024).

This is in addition to the advancement of cooperation between AI and human beings within the organization for efficiency, effectiveness, and improvement (Elkahlout et al., 2024). A strong way of expressing the ability to adapt implies that leaders can effectively address demands for change from new technologies so that firms can sustain adaptability, especially in the future (Jeffrey & Eric, 2024).

There is a growing emphasis on strategic thinking as a strategic capability focused on the handling of collaboration between AI and human beings. There is a need for leadership to create strategies for incorporating AI in decision-making while simultaneously promoting employee engagement in tasks that require creativity and strategy (Shah, 2024). This multilayered approach results in an improvement in the general performance of organizations through a combination of the benefits accrued from the use of artificial intelligence and human input (Matli, 2024).

The last dimension that significantly boosts a job is ethical leadership, especially in the use of AI. Organizational executives must address ethical dilemmas in the practice and deployment of AI solutions, which involve the fairness or lack thereof of algorithms and privacy breaches, and to increase the levels of transparency in determining the application of novel technologies (Rezaei et al., 2024). According to Saxena and Prasad (2024), ethical leadership is positively related to stakeholder trust and innovative organizational culture, while consistency with Randriamiary (2024) claims that the use of AI based on its ethiness is used for the right purposes to the organization as well as society.

In this new world of artificial intelligence training, leadership learning programs must inculcate new skills to help lead the challenges faced by leaders in the AI age (Sposato, 2024). Leaders who make strategic errors do so primarily because they misunderstand the nature of the environment they are competing in, lack foresight and experience, lack emotional intelligence, or do not have the ethical integrity to apply these talents.

6. AI-Powered Leadership in Practice

The advent of AI means that organizations will use more sophisticated tools to make better decisions, manage employees, and guide strategic planning. AI-driven tools of predictive analytics, real-time feedback systems, and performance management

platforms for leaders transform across a wide range of industrial powers. On one hand, however, the adoption of AI in leadership isn't without its own challenges, including ethical issues, resistance to the change, and technical limitations. Below, I present case studies of how these organizations leverage their AI in leadership, what tools are used, and the challenges encountered.

Table 2: Case Studies of AI-Powered Leadership in Practice

Study	Organizations Leveraging AI in Leadership	AI-Driven Tools in Leadership	Challenges Faced by Leaders
Sposato, M. (2024)	Generalized focus on organizations adopting AI-enabled leadership training programs.	AI platforms for adaptive learning, leadership skill assessments, and personalized training pathways.	Resistance to adopting AI-based training methods due to skepticism and lack of understanding.
Qwaider et al. (2024)	Multinational corporation utilizing AI frameworks for leadership development and strategic planning.	Predictive analytics for market analysis and decision-making, and real-time sentiment analysis for employee feedback.	Over-reliance on AI insights, leading to reduced human input in creative decision-making processes.
Hamadaqa et al. (2024)	Retail company leveraging AI for supply chain optimization and leadership decision-making in marketing strategies.	AI tools for supply chain automation, demand forecasting, and campaign personalization.	Difficulty in integrating AI tools into legacy systems and ensuring alignment with existing workflows.
Maurya (2024)	Startups adopting AI to foster entrepreneurial leadership and innovation.	AI tools for market intelligence, competitor analysis, and customer behavior prediction.	Limited resources and expertise in startups to fully harness AI potential.
Pawar & Dhumal (2024)	Mid-sized tech company integrating AI to redefine leadership practices.	AI-powered dashboards for performance tracking and team	Balancing AI's role with traditional leadership to

		collaboration platforms with AI-driven insights.	maintain a human-centric approach.
Ali & Rafi (2024)	Large-scale enterprises implementing AI-driven HR practices and transformational leadership strategies.	AI tools for recruitment automation, workforce analytics, and succession planning.	Ethical concerns over data privacy and algorithmic bias in HR decision-making.
Elkahlout et al. (2024)	Manufacturing firm undergoing structural transformation with AI integration in leadership practices.	Robotic process automation (RPA) for operational efficiency and AI-enabled scenario modeling for strategic planning.	Training leaders to understand and trust AI-generated recommendations for high-stakes decisions.
Tung et al. (2024)	Small businesses and entrepreneurs in Vietnam using AI for operational efficiency and leadership agility.	Chatbots for customer engagement, AI-driven project management tools, and automated reporting systems.	Limited access to advanced AI technologies and challenges in adapting AI solutions to local business needs.

7. Ethical and Social Implications of AI in Leadership

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has been integrated into the practice of leadership in reshaping it from technocentric to a tech and ethical substitution, requiring that leaders adjust to technology while at the same time being ethical and emotional. Shah (2024) points out that AI is changing the face of leadership roles, as one needs to be tech fluent and emphasize ethical considerations for leadership roles. According to Vivek and Krupskyi (2024), leaders with high EI can handle team dynamics and resistance to change well, while Sharma and Tiwari (2024) argue that high EI in leaders allows them to build trust and resilience in an AI-driven environment.

AI-driven decision-making necessitates adaptability, with Jeffrey and Eric (2024) advocating for leaders to address psychological impacts like job displacement. Combined with leadership reflexivity and AI, Matli (2024) argued that AI augments decision-making processes. This is also training and development that are important. AI literature is brought into leadership programs by Sposato (2024) and Elkahlout et al. (2024) use AI to create new ways of transforming organisations.

Ethical leadership is yet another key aspect influencing AI-related perils. Saxena and Prasad (2024) connect ethical leadership to innovation; on the other hand, Rezaei, Pironti, and Quaglia (2024) emphasize issues such algorithmic biases. While AI makes the exchange of knowledge easier, it also poses a series of ethical questions involving data (Rezaei et al., 2024). Inspirational leadership is, after all, critical, if not the most. Leaders inspire resilience and high performance levels in their teams by integrating emotional intelligence (EI) with strategic vision for AI, suggested Shwetha et al. (2024). These perspectives altogether, however, highlight the changing requirements for leaders in the AI age.

8. The Future of Leadership with AI

AI will reshape the roles, responsibilities and skills of leadership. Shah says, (2024) AI will amplify its impact on leadership which involves leaders taking over routine tasks as well therefore instead we can do the more human skills and strategically working. However, this shift requires leaders to integrate technological fluency with emotional intelligence to manage AI-driven workplaces effectively Sposato (2024) highlights the growing need for leadership development programs that emphasize AI literacy alongside traditional leadership skills. The responsibilities, the tasks and the competencies of leadership for the age of AI will not be the same. At this level for Shah (2024), AI would have a positive effect because it would take all the monotonous tasks to allow leaders to concentrate on interpersonal and strategic. Yet the challenge for executives is to combine feelings with

knowledge about the AI managed workplaces in the most effective manner. Sposato (2024) starts with the call for leadership programs that combine knowledge of AI with traditional leadership values.

Rearing AI allows ready leaders to enter new environments that engage in interpersonal adaptability and resilience adaptation. Jeffrey and Eric (2024) add that leaders need to be psychologically minded regarding the transformation of workforce implications with AI by helping with some fear of losing jobs. Vivek and Krupskyi (2024) stated that developing trust, leading diverse, AI-integrated teams, relies heavily on EI. Sharma and Tiwari (2024) highlighted the need to find the right mix of technological competencies with human-centric leadership.

AI is good but carries risks such as profound ethical dilemmas and biased algorithms. Ethical leadership to tackle the challenges of Rezaei, Pironti, and Quaglia (2024) highlight must be considered to ensure that fairness remains in the process of decision making. Alternatively, Elkahlout et al. (2024) advocate for a humanistic-grounded approach on how AI can be utilized to augment, not substitute, human creativity and empathy. Shwetha et al. (2024) Even more in the context of an AI-enabled future, inspirational leadership based on emotional intelligence is crucial for high-performing teams. Taken together, we get the impression that AI has the potential for big changes, and integrating this into leadership requires ethical consideration at the center stage along with human well-being.

9. Conclusion

AI integration into leadership, redefine roles and responsibilities and affect what is must have of leaders — all of which point to a radical change in organizational engagement. In the age of AI, leaders must marry emotional intelligence, hands-on technological fluency and ethical judgment to leverage all that AI's capabilities for AI while acting on its inherent risks. An ever-changing scene calls for adaptability and an ability to plan strategically so that AI + innovation is coupled with human- centric values.

Ethical leadership has emerged as a cornerstone for navigating challenges such as algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns and workforce disruptions. Moreover, fostering trust, inclusivity and collaboration remains vital for leveraging AI as a tool for enhancing human contributions. As organizations prepare for an AI-driven future, leadership development programs must emphasize the integration of emotional intelligence, ethical integrity and AI literacy. This balanced approach will ensure that AI serves as a catalyst for organizational growth, resilience and innovation; however, it also fosters harmonious coexistence between technology and humanity in leadership practices. Although challenges persist, the importance of ethical leadership cannot be overstated, because it ultimately shapes the future of our workplaces.

References

1. Ali, A., & Rafi, N. (2024). Navigating Organizational Change: Exploring the Dynamics of Transformational Leadership in the Digital Age and its Impact on Human Resources Management through Artificial Intelligence Integration. Kurdish Studies, 12(2), 5834-5842.

2. Anwar, S., & Saraih, U. N. (2024). Digital leadership in the digital era of education: enhancing knowledge sharing and emotional intelligence. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *38*(6), 1581-1611.

3. Bass, B. M., & Bass Bernard, M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations.

4. Binns, R. (2018, January). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. In *Conference on fairness, accountability and transparency* (pp. 149-159). PMLR.

5. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. WW Norton & company.

6. Chui, M., & Malhotra, S. (2018). AI adoption advances, but foundational barriers remain. *Mckinsey and company*.

7. Crawford, K. (2021). *The Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence*. Yale University Press.

8. Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). *Only humans need apply: Winners and losers in the age of smart machines* (pp. 1-281). New York: Harper Business.

9. Ejjami, R. (2024). AI-powered leadership in Moroccan organizations: an integrative

literature review. *Int J Multidiscip Res*, *6*(3).

10. Elkahlout, M., Karaja, M. B., Elsharif, A. A., Dheir, I. M., Abunasser, B. S., & Abu-Naser, S. S. (2024). AI-Driven Organizational Change: Transforming Structures and Processes in the Modern Workplace.

11. Farayola, O. A., Abdul, A. A., Irabor, B. O., & Okeleke, E. C. (2023). Innovative business models driven by ai technologies: a review. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *4*(2), 85-110.

12. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—an ethical framework for a good AI society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and machines*, *28*, 689-707.

13. Gilchrist, B. (2022). *Poetics of Artificial Intelligence in Art Practice:(Mis) apprehended Bodies Remixed as Language* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sunderland).

14. Goleman, D. (1996). Emotional intelligence. Why it can matter more than IQ. *Learning*, *24*(6), 49-50.

15. Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. (2019). A brief history of artificial intelligence: On the past, present, and future of artificial intelligence. *California management review*, *61*(4), 5-14.

16. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., & Singh, R. P. (2024). Perspective of leadership 4.0 in the era of fourth industrial revolution: A comprehensive view. *Journal of Industrial Safety*, 100006.

17. Hamadaqa, M. H. M., Alnajjar, M., Ayyad, M. N., Al-Nakhal, M. A., Abunasser, B. S., & Abu-Naser, S. S. (2024). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Strategic Business Decision-Making: Opportunities and Challenges.

18. Heifetz, R. A. (1994). Leadership without easy answers.

19. Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training & Development Journal*.

20. Kolbjørnsrud, V., Amico, R., & Thomas, R. J. (2016). The promise of artificial intelligence. *Accenture: Dublin, Ireland*, *26*.

21. Kollmann, T., Kollmann, K., & Kollmann, N. (2023). Artificial leadership: Digital transformation as a leadership task between the chief digital officer and artificial intelligence. *International Journal of Business Science and Applied Management*, *18*(1), 76-95.

22. Lewin, K. (1943). Defining the'field at a given time.'. *Psychological review*, *50*(3), 292.

23. Li, C., Ashraf, S. F., Amin, S., & Safdar, M. N. (2023). Consequence of Resistance to Change on AI Readiness: Mediating–Moderating Role of Task-oriented Leadership and High-Performance Work System in the Hospitality Sector. *Sage Open*, *13*(4), 21582440231217731.

24. Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence

(AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, *90*, 46-60.

25. Matli, W. (2024). Integration of warrior artificial intelligence and leadership reflexivity to enhance decision-making. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, *38*(1), 2411462.

26. Maurya, R. Synergizing Entrepreneurial Leadership with Artificial Intelligence: An Evolving Paradigm for Organizational Success.

27. Mukherjee, C. (2023). Multi-dimensional leader capabilities for organizational agility in the future of work. *PQDT-Global*.

28. Northouse, G. P.(2021). Leadership: Theory And Practice. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *9*(4).

29. Paudel, R. (2024). The impact of automation and artificial intelligence (AI) on leadership and the workforce. *Indonesian Journal of Banking and Financial Technology*, *2*(2), 109-124.

30. Pawar, S., & Dhumal, V. (2024). The role of technology in transforming leadership management practices. Multidisciplinary Reviews, 7(4), 2024066-2024066.

31. Qwaider, S. R., Abu-Saqer, M. M., Albatish, I., Alsaqqa, A. H., Abunasser, B. S., & Abu-Naser, S. S. (2024). Harnessing artificial intelligence for effective leadership: Opportunities and challenges.

32. Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of management review*, *46*(1), 192-210.

33. Randriamiary, D. (2024). Reframing the Role of Leaders Navigating the Challenges and Opportunities of Tomorrow's Workplace in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. *Available at SSRN 4716033*.

34. Rezaei, M., Pironti, M., & Quaglia, R. (2024). AI in knowledge sharing, which ethical challenges are raised in decision-making processes for organisations?. *Management Decision*.
Saxena, A., & Prasad, A. (2024). The relationship between ethical leadership and innovative work behaviour: role of appreciative inquiry. In *Research Anthology on Business Law, Policy, and Social Responsibility* (pp. 1823-1837). IGI Global.

35. Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Pearson.

36. Shah, N. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Leadership: How Artificial Intelligence is Changing the Leadership Role. *Remittances Review*, *9*(1), 2750-2764.

37. Sharma, S., & Tiwari, V. (2024). Emotional intelligence in the field of business and management: a bibliometric analysis of the last two decades. *Vision*, *28*(4), 419-435.
Shwetha, T. A., Ghosh, N., Behera, L., & Koti, K. (2024). Emotional Intelligence And Inspirational Leadership: Fostering Resilience In High Performance

Teams. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, *30*(5), 7009-7018.

38. Shields, K. D. (2024). Transformative or disruptive?: exploring the impact of generative AI on leadership.

39. Sposato, M. (2024). Leadership training and development in the age of artificial intelligence. Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal, 38(4), 4-7.

40. Sposato, M. (2024). Leadership training and development in the age of artificial intelligence. *Development and Learning in Organizations: An International Journal*, *38*(4), 4-7. Jeffrey, B., & Eric, R. (2024). Psychological Insights Driving Leadership in AI-driven Organizational Behavior. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations*, *1*(1), 605-615.

41. Stogdill, R. M. (1974). Handbook of leadership: A survey of theory and research.

42. Sułkowski, Ł., Dacko-Pikiewicz, Z., & Szczepańska Woszczyna, K. (2024). *Philosophy and Leadership: An Evolution of Leadership from Ancient Times to the Digital Age* (p. 269). Taylor & Francis.

43. Suryanarayana, A. (2022). INSIGHTS INTO LEADERSHIP MANDATES AND IMPERATIVES IN A VUCA WORLD. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, *6*(3), 7255-7264.

44. Teixeira, N., & Pacione, M. (2024). Implications of artificial intelligence on leadership in complex organizations: An exploration of the near future.

45. Tung, T. M., Oanh, V. T. K., Cuc, T. T. K., & Lan, D. H. (2024). AI-Powered Innovation: How Entrepreneurs Can Leverage Artificial Intelligence for Business Success. NATURALISTA CAMPANO, 28(1), 605-618.

46. van Knippenberg, D. (2017). Leadership and creativity in business. *Handbook of research on leadership and creativity*, 384-400.

47. Vivek, R., & Krupskyi, O. P. (2024). EI & AI in leadership and how it can affect future leaders.

48. Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Collaborative intelligence: Humans and AI are joining forces. *Harvard Business Review*, *96*(4), 114-123.